Annex 6 Informal Consultation Brunswick StreetSouthBankAvenue Street Yes No Comments

| Street | Yes | No | Comments |
|--------------------------------------|------------|----|---|
| South Bank Avenue | Yes | | We strongly support this request and hope it will be successful. |
| South Bank Avenue | | No | I agree that the proposed suggestion of a gated access area to the rear of my property is a good suggestion but I would prefer to have a more detailed suggestion or plans drawn up for waste collection because I am not happy with the current suggestions made by the council, because I feel that the current suggestions are impractical, that is why I have chosen the way I have. If more practical suggestions are made I may change my mind. |
| South Bank Avenue | | No | Why do you not accept the last vote which was "no". |
| South Bank Avenue | Yes | | |
| South Bank Avenue | | No | I absolutely opose alleygating in my back street. I do not want to live in a gated community. I also dislike the idea of having to carry heavy bin bags to the bottom of the street and bin bags at the front of the house will be both unsightly and block access. What do I pay Council Tax for? |
| South Bank Avenue | Yes | | |
| South Bank Avenue | | NO | My kitchen is semi basement and rubbish bags need to be collected from the alleyway at the rear of the property. I have terminal cancer with consequent mobility problems which would make carrying bags upstairs for collection from the front very difficult. In addition I have a colostomy which makes it necessary to have weekly (not fortnightly) refuse collections as the council does not operate a clinical waste collection system. It is also necessary to have easy access from the rear for delivery of large items for the kitchen and, via the outside steps, to the living room as the entrance hall does not allow for large bulky items to be turned through 90 degrees for entry to the living room. As it happens the current proposals do not include lane at the rear but there is no guarantee that this would not be required in future. I have experience of other gated areas of York where bicycles hae been removed from yards despite the gates and I do not feel that this proposal to gate would reduce the risk of theft and panders to a drawbridge mentality discouraging property owners from taking proper security precautions. This is not a good use of resources. |
| South Bank Avenue | Yes | | I would propose an alternative gate location (C) marked on (gating scheme drawing), as an alternative to (A) proposed |
| | | | location; for 2 reasons; Security to all of the rear alleyway would far more substantial. Intruders easily viewed from Brunswick Street. Temptation to leave bin collection sacks in number at the outside gate (A) with higher potential for vermin to be attracted to area. |
| South Bank Avenue | Yes | | |
| Brunswick Street | Yes | | Alley lighting would be good. |
| Brunswick Street | Yes | | We have noticed people (whose houses do not back onto the alleyway) taking their dogs to foul in the alley. Also, vans belonging to builders or maintenance teams have parked in the alley entrance, damaging the alley and blocking access. Hopefully this scheme would prevent both - but the gate would need to prevent parking (see map). A gate set back (as seems to be proposed) would be a terrible idea - creating a 'parking spot' in the alley entrance. |
| Brunswick Street | Yes | | |
| Brunswick Street | | No | |
| Brunswick Street | Yes | | This is a great way to provent that to the rear of the property! |
| Brunswick Street Brunswick Street | Yes Yes | | This is a great way to prevent theft to the rear of the property! This is a great idea! |
| Brunswick Street | Yes | | |
| Brunswick Street | Yes | | I do have reservations: eg who is responsible for clearing rubbish dumped in the alley after gating? There are several |
| Drunswick Street | 165 | | properties let to students in Brunswick Street (nos 15-19) for instance, which regularly have large household items, white goods etc abandoned in the back lane and it could easily become an eyesore/health hazard if no one is clearing it. |



| Brunswick Street | | No | The proposal is to gate behind our house and the inclusion of 45 suggests we would be within the gated area. However, as there is a garage directly opposite our back gate I can only assume that actually we will be outside the gated area and will not benefit. As a result I feel you have provided insufficient information, for example where the "central collection point" would be proposed. My concern is that those within the gated area simply dump their rubbish outside the gate and outside our back gate. The council recently distributed a "Rewiring York" leaflet for consultation which included decreasing the frequency of household rubbish collections. Given this street is a black bag collection area I'm concerned that the alleygating and potentially reduced rubbish collections are not mutually exclusive concerns. I feel there is currently insufficient information for us to support the scheme as we would be unlikely to be in receipt of the benefits and would in fact be in a worse position. If you could provide further information to alleviate these concerns a) whether our house will be included and b) how rubbish will be dealt with, then we might be able to support the proposal |
|------------------|-----|----|---|
| Brunswick Street | Yes | | |
| Brunswick Street | Yes | | Before the alleygating is to go ahead firstly the alleyway should get lighting! |
| Brunswick Street | Yes | | I am the owner of 49a Brunswick Street - the property which is situated off Brunswick Street and in the alley, adjacent to Point A on the map. I would like to stipulate that no gates be fixed to this property and to raise my concerns regarding refuse collection points should the allegating scheme be approved. My concern would be that the front of my property becomes a collection point - this I would find totally unacceptable and would reject the scheme if indeed this is planned. |
| Brunswick Street | | No | I agree that there should be gates, but I do not agree on the proposed position. The current proposal does not provide any security to my property (Gate A). I object to my tax payer money being used when it does not protect my property. I have in the past witnessed youths attempting to break into garages/sheds near my house. I reported this to police. I request that Gate A is positioned at the side of my house. I understand that there are garages near my house but the only one that uses their garage to park is for one householder. The alley is for refuse collection - it is not a road. I suffer damage to my fence/wall when other cars/vans attempt to manouevre in the cramped alley therefore a gate would also prevent this!! |
| Brunswick Street | Yes | | I have a garage behind my property which is marked with an asterix on the map. I park my car in the garage at all times (I am away a lot) so I would want to ensure vehicular access is maintained. |



| Consultee | Comments |
|------------------|---|
| Harrogate | I can advise that we have no comments, observations or objections to make. |
| Bridleways | |
| Association | |
| Chief Officer of | Thank you for correspondence with regards to the proposed Alleygating atl Brunswick |
| Police | Street, York, YO23 1EB. I have studied the proposals and on behalf of the Chief Officer of |
| | North Yorkshire Police offer the following observations: No comment. |
| Atkins/Vodaphon | Please accept this email as confirmation that Vodafone: Fixed does not have apparatus |
| e | within the vicinity of your proposed works detailed below. |
| Yorkshire Water | I have received your notification regarding proposals for gating the alleyway in Micklegate |
| | Ward, York. Apologies for the delay in the response; the information was only passed to |
| | me today. Yorkshire Water have no clean apparatus which is likely to be affected by the |
| | proposed gating in Brunswick Street/South Bank Avenue. |
| KCOM | KCOM Group's network (incorporating Affiniti, Torch Telecom, Kingston Infrastructure & |
| | Kingston Communications) is not affected by the above scheme and therefore we do not |
| | object to the proposals at this time. |
| Virgin Media | Virgin Media and Viatel plant should not be affected by your proposed work and no |
| - | strategic additions to our existing network are envisaged in the immediate future. |